

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 11/28/2000 A33795 6759 09/724,436 Eshel Ben-Jacob 12/23/2005 EXAMINER 21003 7590 MARSCHEL, ARDIN H **BAKER & BOTTS** 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10112 1631

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - REPLY FILED 12 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which

		Ardin Marschel	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this c	ommunication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2005 FAII	LS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection this application, applicant must time places the application in condition for a Request for Continued Examination time periods: 	tion, but prior to or or ly file one of the follo or allowance; (2) a No	n the same day as filing a wing replies: (1) an amen otice of Appeal (with appe	Notice of Appeal. To avoid ab dment, affidavit, or other evide al fee) in compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 mont b) The period for reply expires on: (1) no event, however, will the statutory Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RE	the mailing date of this A period for reply expire to check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the da later than SIX MONTHS from (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	n the mailing date of the final reject	tion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 of nave been filed is the date for purposes of determiner 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply recovery reduce any earned patent term adjustment NOTICE OF APPEAL	ermining the period of ex e expiration date of the beived by the Office late	ctension and the correspondi shortened statutory period for than three months after the	ng amount of the fee. The approp or reply originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on _ filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR of a Notice of Appeal has been filed, a 	41.37(a)), or any exte	ension thereof (37 CFR 41	I.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	ths of the date of he appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	- C		to a la mine will make has antenned b	h
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed a (a) They raise new issues that wo (b) They raise the issue of new m (c) They are not deemed to place appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims	ould require further co atter (see NOTE belo the application in be s without canceling a	onsideration and/or search ow); otter form for appeal by ma corresponding number of	n (see NOTE below); aterially reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR				(550) 004)
 The amendments are not in compliant. Applicant's reply has overcome the Newly proposed or amended claim non-allowable claim(s). 	following rejection(s): <u>112, 1st (New Matter) a</u>	nd 112, 2nd para. rejections.	
7. For purposes of appeal, the propose how the new or amended claims wo The status of the claim(s) is (or will l Claim(s) allowed:	uld be rejected is pro			explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 14,15,34 and 3 Claim(s) rejected: 13,16,17,30-33, 8 Claim(s) withdrawn from considerati AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	2 36 .			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed because applicant failed to provide was not earlier presented. See 37 (a showing of good an CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why	the affidavit or other evidence	is necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed entered because the affidavit or other showing a good and sufficient reason 	er evidence failed to one why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections ur ry and was not earlier pre	nder appeal and/or appellant fa sented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is e	•	on of the status of the clair	ms after entry is below or attac	:hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OT 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration ha See attachment for further explana	s been considered bu	ut does NOT place the ap	plication in condition for allowa	ince because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
 Other: <u>Exr. Int. Summary copy fron</u> 	<u>10/19/05</u> .			

Application/Control Number: 09/724,436

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Further explanation of item # 11 on the enclosed Advisory action:

The after final amendment, filed 10/27/05, cannot be entered because it was filed after 3 months from the mailing of the Final action, mailed 7/13/05, without any time extension. This response is based on the amendment and arguments, filed 10/12/05, which is within the 3 months shortened statutory time period after the Final action, mailed 7/13/05.

The rejection of claims 13, 16, 17, 30-33, and 36 based on 102(e)(2) over Braun et al. (WO 99/04440) is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 7/13/05. Applicants argue that DNA material is the active core as instantly claimed. In response, the entry of the amendment, filed 10/12/05, removed any "active core" limitation from claim 13 etc. thus making this argument clearly not corresponding to the factual basis in the claims and therefore moot. Applicants then argue that element "600" in Braun et al. is its active core and is made up of a copper particle and not an active DNA core. In response, as noted no active DNA core is required in the instant claims. Also, applicants admitted that oligonucleotides as DNA molecules are connected to said active copper particle core of Braun et al. which serve to form metal wires which are conductive segments for conducting electrical current that is modulated in the transistor functioning therein. Thus, any of these DNA containing metal wires which connect to the element "600" transistor core of Braun et al. performs as set forth in instant claim 13, for example, via the phrase "wherein said third segment is configured to electrically modulate current flowing across said fourth DNA segment through said P-bridges between said first and second of said three conductive segments in response to a gate voltage applied to said third conductive segment".

Application/Control Number: 09/724,436

Art Unit: 1631

Since the oligonucleotide fibers in said DNA segments are disclosed as formed via hybridization in Braun et al., they are double-stranded which is well known to be a conductive form of DNA. Thus, any current flow between the central particle in Braun et al. and the connected segments will at least partially flow through the conductive DNA fibers. This current is modulated via the third segment as a transistor in Braun et al. and this, modulated current, limitation of the instant claims is also met in Braun et al. In summary, the instant claims are not worded regarding the active core so as to distinguish them over Braun et al. which is still deemed a proper rejection basis.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., Supervisory Patent Examiner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 19, 2005

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER